	SCOTT A. ZARE	3687	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) SCOTT A. ZARE.	(3)		
(2) DEREK MARTIN.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	9]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 45 and 46.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Circenis et al. (US 7.146.496) and Armstrong (US 2002/0156824).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Intender insulating description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached or any other comments it was assigned that the morth added insulations an extender that method claims comblent with 38 USC 510f in view of in a Blakt as they now recite an assertation for performing the method. Furthermon, in reasonate to the revivoles melection were 58 USC 510f, applicably and the property of the property of the secretary of the			
	Scott A. Zare		

Application No. 10/616.676

Examiner

Interview Summary

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Applicant(s)

Art Unit

BIRKESTRAND ET AL.

Paper No. 20090630